

Freedom of religion or belief **for everyone**



together for the persecuted

Produced by:
**Stefanus Alliance
International**
Fourth edition 2017
First published 2012

Contributors:
Ed Brown
Kristin Storaker
Lisa Winther

Design: Tyde

© 2017 Stefanus
Alliance International
All rights reserved.
The contents of this publication
may be used and or reproduced
for educational and other non-
commercial purposes, as long as
Stefanus Alliance International is
acknowledged as the source.

“Freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief continues to challenge authoritarian governments, religious hegemonies and collective narrow-mindedness in various parts of the world. It is important to understand where exactly the challenges lie in order to find appropriate responses. This booklet is very helpful in this regard – clear, precise and easy to read. I very much like it, its spirit, messages, structure and pedagogical impetus.”

Heiner Bielefeldt

UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion
or Belief (2010 - 2016)

“The FoRB booklet is an important tool for human rights education and advocacy. Freedom of Religion and Belief is, perhaps, the most challenging of rights to comprehend with clarity. The emphasis on freedom to manifest one’s religion or belief is always counterbalanced. It cannot fully curb the rights of others to disagree with their religious beliefs and have a right not to be subjected to religious intolerance. Discussion on religions and beliefs can be highly emotional and often leads to conflicts. This, in no way, should be used as deterrent to free speech or to affiliate debate.

Even governments have a delicate role to play. They must remain neutral, but often have to intervene to protect those being discriminated against or persecuted in the name of religion. The FoRB booklet spells out the red lines for all stakeholders. More importantly, it includes the rights of those who may not have a religion or wish to adopt any other faith and indeed underlines the basic principle that it is individuals and communities that have rights, not religions, faiths or ideologies.”

Asma Jahangir

UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief (2004 - 2010)

Freedom of Religion or Belief: Why, What and How

Contents

1.	Freedom of religion or belief – in everyone’s interest	4
2.	Why is freedom of religion or belief important?	6
3.	What is freedom of religion or belief?	9
3.1	Core documents	9
3.2	The seven dimensions	10
3.3	What about limitations?	13
3.4	Common misunderstandings	14
4.	Possible tensions between freedom of religion or belief and other rights	16
4.1	FoRB* and freedom of expression - hate speech and blasphemy	16
4.2	FoRB* and women’s rights	18
5.	How is freedom of religion or belief abused?	20
5.1	Candelin’s three phases of persecution	20
5.2	Illegitimate limitations on freedom of religion or belief	21
5.3	Government restrictions	22
5.4	Social hostilities	22
6.	What can we do?	24
7.	Digging deeper	26

Authors’ note

Three years ago, we published the first edition of this booklet. During the years it has been effectively used in a number of countries and been translated into several languages. Now it is time to revise the booklet and include some additional themes, like possible tensions between freedom of religion or belief and other rights. **A new feature is questions for reflection and discussion at the end of each chapter.**

At the very end of the booklet you will find a practical fold out leaflet where difficult words or concepts, marked with an asterisk (*) in the text, are explained. For best use, we recommend that you open the leaflet while reading in order to have easy access to the glossary.

1. Freedom of religion or belief – in everyone’s interest



The overwhelming majority of the world’s population holds a religious belief. Unfortunately, people’s possibility to freely choose and express their belief is being increasingly restricted.¹

A group of Christians, gathered for worship in Rajasthan state in India, were attacked by Hindu extremists on August 16, 2017. The pastor suffered serious injuries after being beaten with steel rods.² A court in Almaty, Kazakhstan, banned the entire Jehovah’s Witness Administrative Centre and all their activities, including meetings for worship, from August to November 2017.³ On August 25, 2017, a suicide bombing followed by gunfire killed at least 28 Shia Muslims gathered for Friday prayers at a mosque in Kabul. The Islamic State claimed responsibility.⁴ Vietnamese Hoa Hao Buddhist Nguyen Huu Tan was arbitrary arrested on 2 May, 2017. He died under unclear circumstances in police custody the day after. He and his family had previously been harrassed for not joining the government aligned Hoa Hao Administrative Council.⁵

These are just a few examples illustrating that not all people can believe what they want, change their beliefs and express their belief freely, despite the fact that the fundamental human right of freedom of religion or belief is enshrined in various human rights documents and widely recognized by nearly all governments in the world.^A

With this booklet, Stefanus Alliance International will explain the why, what and how of freedom of religion of belief: why it is important, what its central elements are and how it is abused and how it can be promoted. The goal of this booklet is to provide a tool for anyone interested in learning more about FoRB. We also hope that it will be used to promote and protect this fundamental freedom around the world. It is our belief that promotion, protection and respect of FoRB is an important and necessary ingredient in the development of multi-religious societies characterized by tolerance and peaceful co-existence.

A As of September 2017, 169 of the 193 member states of the UN are state parties to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR*), a binding treaty, which states in article 18 that everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion.

Anti-Muslim violence in Myanmar

Myanmar has a majority Buddhist population, with many minority groups, among them Rohingya Muslims. The majority of them live in Rakhine state. Myanmar authorities do not recognize most Rohingya Muslims as citizens and they are especially vulnerable for discrimination and human rights violations.

In June 2012, violence broke out when three Muslim men were accused of raping and killing a Buddhist woman. People from both communities committed acts of violence. However, the violence soon developed into sustained and targeted attacks by Rakhine people and Burmese security forces against Muslims. In October 2012, a new, well-planned wave of violence against Rohingyas took place, where both Buddhist religious leaders and political figures were active. Rohingya villages were raided and burned by mobs of thousands. Several hundred Rohingyas were brutally killed and many thousand displaced. Entire Muslim neighborhoods were destroyed. Police and authorities failed in providing protection for the Rohingya Muslims, and some were even actively involved in the violence.

Since 2012, at least 200 000 Rohingya Muslims have fled their homes and are in dire need, many living in camps for internally displaced people. Those who committed the violence have not been punished and the victims have received no compensation.

Source:
Fortify Rights, 2014. "Policies of persecution"

2. Why is FoRB important?

When President Franklin D. Roosevelt, one of the driving forces behind the establishment of the UN, envisioned a world of peaceful coexistence between nations, he stressed four fundamental freedoms: freedom of speech, freedom of belief, freedom from want and freedom from fear.⁶ Freedom of thought, conscience and belief, often referred to as the right to freedom of religion of belief (FoRB) is considered by many to be one of the foundations of a democratic society.⁷

Illegitimate* limitations on religious practice and persecution on the grounds of religion or belief affect all religious groups, including atheists* and agnostics*, and it occurs in all parts of the world.⁸ 40 % of the countries in the world enforce high or very high restrictions on religion. Because some of the most restrictive countries are highly populated, 79% of the world's population live in countries with high or very high restrictions on religion,⁹ the brunt of which often falls on religious minorities that are often viewed as economic, cultural or political threats to the majority.

There are several reasons why FoRB is important. They can be summarized as follows:

- **Religious freedom is important in itself,** because it gives individuals the right to form personal beliefs, and to manifest and express them. Religion is both a set of religious teachings and practices, but in many countries and communities around the world it is just as much about the sense of identity and belonging to a group.
- **Violations of FoRB are closely connected with and threaten other civil and political rights,** such as the right to life, privacy, assembly and expression, as well as social, economic and cultural rights. The status of FoRB can often



be an indicator of the general human rights situation in a country. When the space for religious expression is restricted, so is freedom of speech. Prisoners of faith often find their right to a fair trial violated. Women, children, migrant workers and refugees are especially vulnerable to FoRB violations. Discrimination based on religion affects minorities' access to social and economic goods, and can contribute to grievances that destabilize a society. Thus, working for religious freedom promotes human rights in general, something which everyone benefits from.¹⁰

- **Democratization* and civil society*:** Civil society plays an important role in holding authorities accountable and being a counterweight to power holders. Low levels of religious freedom reduce people's possibilities to form civil society groups and become actors for change. Faith based movements provide great opportunities for people to organize on a grass roots level and become important actors for both democratization processes and poverty reduction. Authoritarian* states fear this potential and try to restrict civil society and their influence by reducing and limiting religious freedom. When governments live up to their responsibility and ensure religious freedom this can contribute positively to a democratization process and a strong civil society.¹¹
- **Socioeconomic* well-being and stability:** Research shows a strong correlation between FoRB and the economic development in a country and the socioeconomic wellbeing of its people,¹² in addition to the level of violence. High restrictions on FoRB strongly correlate with:
 - *The economy.* States with high restrictions on FoRB tend to have lower gross domestic product (GDP)* and lower scores on the UN's human development index (HDI)*.
 - *Women's socioeconomic status.* Women who live in states with high restrictions on FoRB tend to have lower participation in national parliaments, professional working life, and secondary school. Consequently, these women also have a lower estimated income than women who live in states with low/no restrictions on FoRB.

- *Health.* The levels of infant mortality and the number of underweight children are higher in countries with high restrictions on FoRB than in countries with low/no restrictions on FoRB.
- *Violent conflict and high levels of military spending.* In many countries, religious pluralism and multiculturalism are often viewed as potential threats. Numerous governments try to restrict religious freedoms in an effort to maintain national harmony, security and order. Statistical analysis, however, shows that it is not the number of religions in a country that explains the existence of religious conflict and persecution, but rather the governmental restrictions and regulations on religion. When the government discriminates on religious grounds and let violators go unpunished, it legitimizes and justifies violence by other actors in society. This may lead to further governmental regulations in an attempt to regulate social violent tensions, and thus opens the door for increased persecution. The end is an ongoing vicious circle of regulations and persecution. FoRB, on the other hand, creates conditions that make peaceful co-existence between religious groups possible.¹³

Consequently, FoRB is not only a fundamental individual right, but it is also important for the democratic and economic situation of a state, the wellbeing of its citizens, and the stability and peace among its inhabitants. Neglecting this freedom can have far-reaching and serious consequences, both nationally and internationally. Therefore, FoRB is of great importance to everyone; those who consider themselves religious and those who consider themselves non-religious alike.



The questions for discussion at the end of each chapter are suggestions to help you to reflect on important issues.

Questions for discussion

- 1 What does FoRB mean to you? In what ways is it important for you and for others? Do you think it means different things for different people? Why?
- 2 Which FoRB violations have you heard of or read about recently?
- 3 Can you give examples of how FoRB is interconnected with other human rights? Which ones and how?
- 4 In what ways can and do religious communities play a positive role in strengthening democratic development in society? What conditions do you think need to be in place in order for this to happen?
- 5 In what ways do you think FoRB contributes to economic development and socioeconomic wellbeing?

3. What is FoRB?



Freedom of religion or belief should be interpreted broadly and protects individuals who profess and practice different kinds of religions, i.e. traditional, non-traditional and new religions, atheism and agnosticism. It also protects the right to have no confession at all.¹⁴ As previously mentioned, FoRB gives everyone the right to have a religion or belief; change his/her religion or belief; and practice his/her religion or belief as he/she likes.¹⁵

As with all other human rights, individuals are the primary holders of FoRB. The government is the primary duty bearer. At the same time, FoRB has certain collective aspects where the religious community as a group enjoy certain rights, e.g. the right to obtain legal recognition as a religious community, the right to decide over internal affairs, like choosing suitable leaders, the right to create religious schools and to provide services to the public.¹⁶

9

3.1 Core documents

- Article 18 in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)
- Article 18 in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)
- The 1981 Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief
- General Comment 22, where the Human Rights Committee explains the meaning of article 18 in the ICCPR

Even if countries have not ratified binding international treaties protecting FoRB, this freedom is considered to be protected as a part of customary international law.¹⁷ In addition to the interna-

tional documents, there are regional documents on the subject of FoRB. It is important to note that these documents have varying definitions of FoRB and not all provide equally good protection of FoRB as the ICCPR does.

- Article 9 in the Council of Europe's Convention for the protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (ECHR)
- Paragraph 16 in the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe's Concluding document in Vienna 1986
- Article 8 of the African Union's Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights
- Article 26 and 27 in the Arab Charter on Human Rights
- Article 12 in the American Convention on Human Rights

3.2 Seven dimensions of FoRB

What does freedom of religion then mean in practice? The state has the responsibility to respect, protect and promote the following seven dimensions of FoRB:¹⁸

1 The freedom to have, choose and change a religion or belief

As mentioned before, FoRB gives everyone the right to freely have, choose and change a religion or belief. This is sometimes called the inner freedom, and can never be legitimately limited by anyone or by any means whatsoever. It is, according to human rights documents, absolutely protected without exceptions or conditions.¹⁹ Still, the right to change one's religion is debated and challenged. Religious identity is often viewed as connected with ethnic or national identities. However, this becomes problematic when people are restricted from changing their religion or belief to a faith that is not traditionally associated with one's ethnic group or nationality. Numerous governments and groups ban people from belonging to, changing or leaving a particular religion. In many countries, people who choose to leave a religion face threats and violence from society. Requirements to reveal one's religion, such as on ID-cards or other required government forms, are often used to discriminate and persecute.

2 The freedom to practice a religion or belief

The outer freedom includes the right to manifest, practice and express one's belief in private or public, alone or in community with others.²⁰ It contains, among other things, the right to:

- Worship or assemble in connection with a religion or belief, and to establish and maintain houses of worship.
- Establish and maintain charitable and humanitarian institutions.
- Make, acquire and use necessary articles and materials related to the rites or customs of a religion or belief.
- Write, issue, publish and distribute religious literature.
- Teach a religion or belief in suitable places.

- Ask for and receive voluntary financial donations or other gifts from individuals and institutions.
- Train, appoint and elect suitable leaders and teachers according to the requirements and standards of any religion or belief.
- Establish and maintain communications with individuals and communities in matters of religion or belief at national and international level.
- Observe days of rest; celebrate holidays and ceremonies in accordance with one's religion or belief; dress and eat in accordance with the prescription of one's religion; use religious symbols; and to share one's faith with others in non-coercive* missionary activities.

3 Freedom from coercion*

Nobody has the right to force another person to have, maintain or change a belief. Force can mean to persuade someone to change a religion against their will by using physical violence or threats thereof, psychological violence, criminal penalties or more subtle forms of illegal influence. UN General Comment 22 on how to interpret Article 18 in ICCPR states that if a government uses material benefits or restricts access to medical care, education and/or employment in order to influence people's choice of religion; this is to be considered as an indirect form of coercion.²¹

4 Freedom from discrimination

Everyone is entitled to FoRB without discrimination. States are obliged to respect, protect and promote this freedom to all persons within their country. Majority religions should have no advantage over minority religions. It is forbidden to discriminate in any way because of a person's beliefs or the religious community to which a person belongs. The government is obliged to take effective steps in order to prevent this kind of discrimination, whether it occurs in legislation*, in implementation* or in society.²² The state should be impartial* and not favor any religion. Unfortunately, discrimination based on religion or belief negatively affects minorities' access to basic services like education and health care all over the world.

5 Rights of parents and guardians and the rights of the child

Parents or guardians have the right to raise their child in accordance with their own religion or life stance. This should be done in accordance to the developing capacities of the child. As the child matures, he/she must be allowed to make more decisions regarding his/her own beliefs.²³ The government may not decide what religion parents should pass on to their children. The practice of a religion or belief may never harm the physical or mental health or development of the child. Every child has the right to access to religious education according to the will of their parents or guardians and should never be forced to participate in such education against these wishes. If religious education in public schools is not impartial nor objective, the government should make it possible for students to opt out or to attend alternative classes. Exemptions from these lessons must be implemented in a non-discriminatory and non-stigmatizing manner. Internationally, millions of minority children are forced to participate in biased education in favor of the majority religion or belief.

6 The right to corporate freedom and legal recognition

Religious or belief groups have the right to be officially recognized as communities, and those who seek for it should be given legal entity status in order to have a formal body representing their interests and rights as communities. Nevertheless, official registration or legal entity status should never be a requirement for religious or belief groups to exercise FoRB or their right to decide over own affairs. Even though the primary right holders are individuals, existing standards highlight the importance of the collective dimension of FoRB, held in common by the many members of religious communities.²⁴ Unfortunately, strict laws regarding registration are used in many places in the world to discriminate, harass and persecute communities of religious believers.

7 The right to conscientious objection*

FoRB also protects people from being forced to act against their conscience and the core of their beliefs, especially regarding the use of weapons or deadly force. Therefore, those who genuinely hold beliefs that forbid military service should be given an alternative national service. In many countries, this is not possible and refusing military service on religious grounds can be punishable.²⁵



Sentenced to death for apostasy in Sudan

Sudanese Meriam Yahia Ibrahim always considered herself a Christian. Her Muslim father disappeared from her life when she was a little girl and her Christian mother raised her. In 2012, she married a Christian South-Sudanese man.

In 2013, somebody reported Meriam's marriage as illegal. With a Muslim father, she is legally considered as a Muslim and is therefore not allowed to have a Christian husband. In February 2014, Meriam was arrested together with her 20-month-old son, charged with adultery and apostasy, i.e. leaving Islam, something that is illegal in Sudan. In May 2014, she was consequently sentenced to 100 lashes and death. Shortly afterwards she gave birth to a baby girl in prison. While in prison, she was exposed to pressure to renounce her Christian faith and was told that she would be released if she did. Due to considerable international pressure, she was released during the summer the same year. She was then able to leave Sudan, together with her husband and two children.

Source: Morningstar News, July 24, 2014

3.3 What about limitations?

Some rights are absolute rights, which means that they may never be limited or suspended under any circumstances. The right to freedom from torture is one example. Other rights are qualified rights, which means that they may be limited under certain well-defined and narrow circumstances, defined by limitation clauses.²⁶

FoRB has both an absolute part (the inner freedom, i.e. the right to have, choose and change religion or belief) and a qualified part (the outer freedom, i.e. the right to practice a religion or belief).²⁷

Any limitation of FoRB can only apply to the outer freedom, i.e. the right to manifest, practice and express a religion or belief. The limitation should never be applied in a discriminatory way. Any restriction or limitation must meet all of the following three requirements:²⁸

- Grounded in national law.
- Necessary in order to protect one of the following public goods:
 - Public security
 - Public order
 - Public health
 - Public morals (the claim of what is public morals must be based in more than one religious tradition)
 - Basic human rights and freedoms of others

If the state can obtain the goal sought by the limitation in another way, it must choose the solution that does not limit FoRB.

- Proportionate and non-discriminatory. Proportionate means that if the state really has to limit FoRB in order to obtain one of the above mentioned goals, the extent of the limitation needs to be in balance with the actual danger that the religious practice constitutes. In addition, the limitation must be applied equally to followers of different religions or beliefs.

3.4 Common misunderstandings

Freedom of religion or belief challenges and is challenged both nationally and internationally. There are several common misunderstandings about what FoRB is and is not. It is therefore useful to look at some of these misunderstandings.²⁹ Contrary to what many may think, **FoRB is not:**

- About enforcing interreligious harmony nor preserving the existing religious patterns in society. FoRB allows people to freely choose and change their religion or belief, even if it would change the religious map of a country and be perceived as threatening by the authorities. Just like protecting the rights of followers of mainstream interpretation of religions or beliefs, FoRB also protects minorities, minorities within minorities, converts and re-converts, reformers and dissidents. FoRB makes religious pluralism and diversity possible and enables different religious groups and interpretations to co-exist peacefully. Therefore, the former UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief, Heiner Bielefeldt^B, calls FoRB a non-harmonious peace project.

B Between 2010 to 2016.

- An exclusively Western/Christian concept. One can find elements of FoRB in many different religious and philosophical traditions. Leaders from many of the world's major religions have advocated for religious tolerance and elements of FoRB long before it was enshrined in modern human rights documents.³⁰
- The removal of religion from the public sphere nor an enforced privatization of religion. FoRB assumes that the state is impartial with regard to all worldviews and life stands, both religious and non-religious, and does not privilege any particular religion or belief. However, this does not justify suppressing all visible manifestations of religious practices or symbols in order to create a religion-free public sphere.
- Protection of religions themselves, nor their gods, prophets or sacred texts, from ridicule and criticism. As with other human rights, FoRB protects human beings, i.e. the person holding religious beliefs or worldviews. It does not protect ideas or doctrines. Nevertheless, one cannot use religion to propagate religious hatred that leads to incitement* of violence or discrimination.³¹ This point will be further examined in the following chapter.

Questions for discussion

- 1 When reading chapter 3, did your understanding of FoRB change? If yes, in what way?
- 2 Do you know someone who has converted from one religion or belief to another? How does your community react when somebody converts to another religion or belief? Is it different from how you react if somebody embraces your faith? Why? What do international human rights norms say about conversion?
- 3 When expressing and practicing your religion or belief, what is important for you? Do you think it is ok for followers of other religions or beliefs to express and practice their faith in the same way? Is there a difference? Why?
- 4 What obstacles does your own religious/belief community face regarding the outer freedom?
- 5 Which legitimate restrictions on FoRB occur presently in your context?
- 6 Which restrictions on FoRB in your society do not fulfil all the three requirements making restrictions legitimate? Which dimensions suffer most from these illegitimate restrictions?
- 7 Which restrictions on FoRB do you think are lacking in your society? Would these be legitimate or illegitimate according to international standards?

4 Possible tensions between FoRB and other rights



The basic principles underlying human rights state that these rights are:

- Universal. They are the same for everyone everywhere.
- Indivisible. They cannot be separated from each other.
- Interdependent and interrelated. They are connected to each other and the fulfilment of one right is dependent on the fulfilment of other rights.

This integrated perspective is important to uphold even in complicated and tense situations where there are practical conflicts in the implementation of rights.³² When tensions between rights arise, it is necessary to keep in mind how human rights are connected and dependent on each other. A tension does not necessarily imply that one right has to trump the other in a zero-sum game*, but one should try reconcile, to the maximum degree as possible, all human rights issues at stake.

4.1 FoRB and Freedom of Expression – hate speech and blasphemy

In addition to FoRB, freedom of expression is also fundamental for a well-functioning society. Both complement each other and are equally important for the protection of minorities and those with opinions and beliefs differing from power holders. Both FoRB and freedom of expression can legitimately be limited, but how can limitations be placed on one of them without violating the other?

In the context of religious criticism, it is sometimes incorrectly assumed that FoRB and freedom of expression are at odds with each other. As mentioned, FoRB protects the people holding beliefs, not religions or world-views themselves. Freedom of expression does, however, give the right to shock and offend. Therefore, one has the right (but not the duty or obligation) to criticize and ridicule religions, even if it can be perceived as insulting and offending. Freedom of expression does, however, not protect the right to “any advocacy of national, racial or religious hatred that constitutes incitement to hostility or violence.”³³ When does an offensive or shocking statement or speech cross the line and become illegitimate hate speech or incitement to hostility or violence?

Some states try to solve this dilemma by creating so-called blasphemy* laws, making insult of religious feelings or defamation of religion a criminal offence. Unfortunately, these vaguely formulated laws very often violate both FoRB and freedom of expression, and end up promoting violence in the name of religion, instead of reducing it. When minorities and dissidents express beliefs or opinions, that the majority or power holders find offensive, they might be accused of blasphemy without evidence and due legal process*. Violent extremists often take matters into their own hands and administer mob justice by inciting others to violence against those believing differently. In this way, minorities and dissenters, who only exercise their rights, are subject to genuine hate speech, incitement and violence. Yet, often neither this incitement nor the violence are prosecuted or punished. Instead, the victims, who are wrongfully accused for blasphemy, are punished.

So, what can be done to maximize the enjoyment of both FoRB and freedom of expression? The Rabat Plan of Action³⁴ offers useful guidelines for determining when speech crosses the line and should be criminally prohibited. It underlines the importance of having a very high threshold and taking into account several aspects of the speech: the context, the status or position of the speaker, the intent behind the message, the content, how widely the message is spread and the degree of risk that the message will actually provoke and lead to violent action and discrimination.³⁴ The best and most effective way of countering hate speech is not restrictions, but more speech such as public statements of solidarity, fair media reporting and clarifications that can counter negative stereotypes.³⁵

Freedom of expression is such an important part of building and maintaining a free and open society and a cornerstone of democracy that any limitation on it must be carefully weighed. FoRB and freedom of expression should not be used to undermine each other, but rather complement each other and contribute to peaceful co-existence between diverse groups.

4.2 FoRB and women's rights

Often FoRB is wrongly presented as contradictory to women's rights. Indeed women's rights have been negatively affected by many religious laws, traditions and customs. Some people think that FoRB gives religious male leaders the right to control members in their religious community, especially women. Other people think that FoRB merely is an obstacle to reach the goal of a non-discriminatory society. These practical tensions in the intersection between FoRB and women's rights, do not mean that the two concepts are incompatible as standards. One does not necessarily have to restrict FoRB in order to promote gender equality. Neither is it necessary to accept discrimination against women in order to implement FoRB. The ways FoRB and women's rights depend on each other and strengthen each other are often overlooked and underexplored.³⁶

Important human rights conventions uphold FoRB as a right for each individual, including women. Therefore, it gives every woman the right to:

- Decide for herself what she believes in, what she does not believe in and to live her life according to these beliefs without fear.
- Be protected from coercion to believe or to practice her beliefs in ways that are against her will.
- Not be discriminated because of these choices.³⁷

FoRB gives every woman the right to follow or not to follow social and religious norms without risking negative consequences such as violence and harassment. It also, together with freedom of expression, opens the door for healthy discussions about restrictive and discriminating religious traditions and norms.

Women are especially vulnerable for FoRB violations, both because of their gender and their beliefs. Particularly women from religious minorities suffer. Harassment against women because of wearing or not wearing religious clothing has been increasing. In 2015, it took place in 25% of the world's countries, compared with 7% in 2007.³⁸

To promote women's right to FoRB should be an important and integrated part in the work of promoting gender equality.

Questions for discussion

- 1 Do you think FoRB is a universal right, i.e. a right that is the same for everyone everywhere? Why or why not?
- 2 What do you think of criticizing religion, questioning doctrines and making fun of religious figures and sacred texts? What do international norms say?
- 3 Even if you have the right to do or say something, does it mean that you have to or should do it? Is it always wise?
- 4 How can blasphemy laws be misused and violate FoRB?
- 5 What does FoRB mean for women? In which ways do women suffer from FoRB violations in your context?

Atheists sentenced for blasphemy in Egypt

Karim Al-Banna, an Egyptian student in his twenties, announced that he was an atheist on Facebook. A local newspaper published his name together with others that were accused of being atheists. As a result, al-Banna was physically harassed and assaulted by his neighbours. When he tried to file a police complaint against them, the police arrested him in November 2014 under the pretext that he was accused of disrespect and contempt of Islam. On January 11, 2015, al-Banna was sentenced to three years in prison under Egypt's blasphemy law. During his trial, his father witnessed against him accusing him of "embracing extremist ideas against Islam". The ruling was upheld on March 9, 2015.

Sources:
Ishak Ibrahim, Tales of Blasphemy in Egypt, April 23, 2015;
Human Rights Watch, Egypt: 3-year sentence for Atheist, January 13, 2015



5 How is FoRB abused?

The state has the primary responsibility to respect, protect and promote human rights within the borders of their territory. The state is obliged to:³⁹

- **Respect** human rights, by refraining from discrimination and human rights violations themselves.
- **Protect** human rights, by taking an active role in order to prevent human rights violations by non-state actors, for example by having good protection laws in place and by bringing violators to justice.
- **Promote** human rights, by taking positive measures to facilitate the implementation and enjoyment of human rights, i.e. through encouraging respect of human rights and by putting in place appropriate institutions, policies and procedures.

Often, though, the state itself violates human rights. Limitations on FoRB are found on every continent and all religious or belief groups are targeted in one way or another by these limitations.

5.1 Candelin's three phases of persecution

There is no international consensus on how to define or measure persecution. Numbers and statistics on persecuted believers can be confusing. However, one aspect of persecution seems to be constant, namely violence or the threat of violence. One way to describe the mechanisms involved in moving

towards violence/threat of violence is Johan Candelin's^C three-phase model. The model divides the phases into active and passive stages. The phase is active if the state is directly involved and passive if non-state actors perform the persecution and the state fails to take action to stop the persecution and protect the victims. The three phases can overlap or occur at the same time.

- Disinformation – lies, prejudices and stereotypes in e.g. media, educational material and in the way politicians and officials describe religious minorities. Rumors, prejudice and hostilities* among the general population can easily justify discriminative actions.
- Discrimination – in relation to legislation, implementation of legal provisions and public services, employment, education and family matters.
- Violent persecution – threats of or acts of violence against a person or a person's belongings due to his/her religion or belief, e.g. imprisonment, torture, physical harassment, displacement and violent attacks.⁴⁰

5.2 Illegitimate limitations on FoRB

Whether something is a violation of religious freedom, as distinct from a violation of some other human right, depends on whether someone's religion or belief is a factor. If it is, it is usually not the only factor. One can ask; would someone of a different religious belief or no religious belief in the same situation suffer the same treatment? Is there different treatment given to adherents of different religious groups? Even if the motive of a violation is not religious, it can still be a violation of religious freedom since the result is also a key issue.⁴¹

Some restrictions result from government actions, policies and laws. Others result from hostile acts by private individuals, organizations and social groups. According to a 2017 report by Pew Forum on Religion & Public Life, the highest overall levels of restrictions are found in countries like Russia, Egypt, India, Pakistan and Nigeria, where both the government and society at large impose numerous limits on religious beliefs and practices.

However, government policies and social hostilities do not always move in tandem. Countries like China, Iran, Uzbekistan, Vietnam and Eritrea have very high government restrictions on religion, but are in the moderate or low range when it comes to social hostilities. Nigeria, Palestinian territories and Libya have very high scores on social hostilities, but moderate government restrictions.⁴²

C Johan Candelin is the former director of the Religious Liberties Commission of the World Evangelical Alliance.

5.3 Government restrictions

These restrictions can range from discriminative laws, such as censorship*, complicated registration regulations, blasphemy laws and bans on conversion and sharing one's faith by peaceful means of persuasion, to harassment, monitoring, raids and detentions without legitimate reasons.

Often governments use cumbersome registration requirements as a means of denying faith communities basic freedoms, hindering them in becoming legal entities and limiting their possibilities to hire staff and rent or buy places of worship, among other things. Some religious groups experience great difficulties in receiving registration and thus their activities are deemed by the authorities to be illegal and punishable by law. In recent years, the fight against terrorism has increasingly been used as a justification for human rights violations in the area of freedom of religion or belief. Religious minorities also face discrimination in implementation of legal provisions and public services as well as in the educational system and employment.

22

5.4 Social hostilities

Non-state actors, such as individuals, groups, communities and organizations, can also impose restrictions on religious freedom in the form of harassment, threats, societal violence and attacks on places of worship, property or individuals of certain religious groups. Many times these kinds of assaults and violations go unpunished because authorities lack the will, courage or ability to protect vulnerable individuals and groups, and prosecute* the violators. This impunity encourages further social hostilities.

Questions for discussion


- 1 Look at the four different cases (page 5, 13, 19 and 23) in this booklet and discuss:
 - Who is the victim? What religion or belief does the victim have?
 - Who is committing the wrongdoing?
 - Describe what is happening in the case. Is it disinformation, discrimination and/or violent persecution?
 - Is the government actively involved, or are they passively letting other non-state actors commit these violations without stopping or punishing them?
 - Which of the seven dimensions of FoRB are affected?
 - What other human rights are violated?
- 2 What restrictions on FoRB exist in your society and who imposes them? Who is suffering from them? What role does the government play?
- 3 Can you give examples of disinformation, discrimination and violent persecution from your own context?

PEW charter

Circles are sized proportionally to each country's population (2015)

Restrictions on religion among the world's 25 most populous countries

Among the world's 25 most populous countries, Russia, Egypt, India, Pakistan and Nigeria stand out as having the most restrictions on religion (as of the end of 2015) when both government restrictions and religious hostilities are taken into account. (Countries in the upper right of the chart have the most restrictions and hostilities.) Brazil, Japan, South Africa, Ethiopia and the Philippines have the least restrictions and hostilities. (Countries in the lower left have the least restrictions and hostilities.) Scores are for calendar year 2015.



Source: Pew Research Center analysis of external data. See Methodology for details.
 Global Restrictions on Religion Rise Modestly in 2015, Reversing Downward Trend

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Source: "Latest Trends in Religious Restrictions and Hostilities" Pew Research Center, Washington, D.C. (April, 2017) <http://www.pewforum.org/2017/04/11/global-restrictions-on-religion-rise-modestly-in-2015-reversing-downward-trend/>. © Pew Research Center

The graph illustrates the levels of social hostilities (y-axis) and government restrictions (x-axis) in the world's most populous countries. As you can see, the countries situated in the upper right corner of the graph are the ones with the highest restrictions on FoRB.


CASE

Persecution of Protestants by Catholics in Mexico

On January 14, 2015, two Protestant families (ten persons) were forcibly displaced from the Catholic community of La Florecilla in San Cristóbal de las Casas municipality, Mexico. Catholics and Protestants are two different forms of Christianity and Mexico has a majority of Catholics. These two families had for several years endured different forms of harassment and discrimination because of their beliefs. They have had their water and electricity cut, been physically attacked and imprisoned without legitimate reasons. Finally, they were given a deadline by village authorities to renounce their Protestant faith or be forced to leave. Since they did not want to renounce their Protestant faith, the threats of expulsion were carried out in January 2015, and they had to leave their homes. The harassment of this Protestant group started in 2011. Despite numerous complaints to the municipal and state governments, no action has been taken by authorities to protect the rights of this group.

Source: Christian Solidarity Worldwide, press release January 27, 2015

6 What can we do?



In the light of the great religious freedom challenges around the world, it is easy to become discouraged and conclude that there is nothing we can do. The good news is that we all can do something!

Eleanor Roosevelt, the leader of the commission responsible of drafting the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, said that “human rights documents carry no weight unless the people know them, unless the people understand them, unless the people demand that they be lived.”⁴³

Therefore, human rights and FoRB start with you and me, and our understanding of our rights. Regardless of whether we are politicians or ordinary citizens, we can all contribute with something. Depending on our position in society, we just have to work through different means! Politicians and policy makers can use their position to influence legislation and implementation. Religious leaders and community leaders can work within their setting. Civil

society actors, and you and me as individuals, can claim our own rights and be the voice of others suffering in silence by holding governments and local authorities accountable, reminding them of their responsibility, shedding light on violations, and putting pressure on relevant actors for change. We can help other people get more engaged by making them aware of what FoRB is and how it is violated. Last but not the least, we can treat each other with respect and give other religious or belief communities the same rights that we claim for ourselves!

“Where, after all, do universal rights begin? In small places, close to home – so close and so small that they cannot be seen on any maps of the world. Yet they are the world of the individual person; the neighborhood he lives in; the school or college he attends; the factory, farm or office where he works. Such are the places where every man, woman, and child seeks equal justice, equal opportunity, equal dignity without discrimination. Unless these rights have meaning there, they have little meaning anywhere. Without concerned citizen action to uphold them close to home, we shall look in vain for progress in the larger world.”

Eleanor Roosevelt

“Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed people can change the world; indeed, it's the only thing that ever has.”

Margaret Mead

Questions for discussion

- 1 What needs to change in your society in order for everyone to enjoy freedom of religion or belief? How can this be done?
- 2 How can you contribute? What are potential risks?
- 3 Think about other religious groups or belief communities in your society. Which prejudices are common in your society against them?
- 4 Do you know anybody from other religious groups or belief communities? Why/why not? What prejudices do you personally have towards other religious groups? Why? How can you start to overcome them?
- 5 Which prejudices are common towards your own religious/belief community, if you belong to one?

7 Digging deeper



As you have noticed, there are questions for reflection and discussion at the end of each chapter. Take some time to reflect and discuss them. They can give you a deeper understanding of what FoRB really is, what consequences FoRB can have for you on a personal level, how to analyze the FoRB situation in your society and what steps you need to take in order to contribute to increased FoRB in your context.

In addition, if you are interested in digging deeper into FoRB, you can find links to interesting reports and articles on FoRB and related topics on www.stefanus.no/forb

You can also download resources and exercises that you can use if you would like to engage in human rights education with a special focus on FoRB.

“Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.”

Article 18, Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Endnotes

- 1 Grim B. J., 2008. God's Economy. In Marshall, P. (ed), 2008. Religious Freedom in the World
- 2 <http://morningstarnews.org/2017/08/pastor-india-perseveres-amid-persecution-hindu-extremists/> (accessed 13.09.2017)
- 3 http://www.forum18.org/archive.php?article_id=2313 (accessed 13.09.2017)
- 4 <http://www.aljazeera.com/news/2017/08/gunmen-attack-shia-imam-zaman-mosque-kabul-170825095421445.html> (accessed 13.09.2017)
- 5 <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/asa41/6657/2017/en/> (accessed 13.09.2017)
- 6 Glendon, M. A., 2002 A World Made New
- 7 Novak/Vospersnik, 2004. Permissible Restrictions on Freedom of Religion or Belief. In: Lindholm, T. W., Durham, C., Tahzib-Lie, B. G., (eds), 2004. Facilitating Freedom of Religion or Belief: A Deskbook. P. 147.
- 8 Marshall, P., 2008. The Range of Religious Freedom. In: Marshall, P. (ed), 2008. Religious Freedom in the World.
- 9 Pew Research Center's Forum on Religion & Public Life, 2017. "Global restrictions on religion rises modestly in 2015, reversing downward trend"
- 10 Cash, K., 2009. Faith, Freedom and Change. Swedish Mission Council. <http://www.missioncouncil.se/>; Marshall, P., 2008. The range of Religious Freedom. In: Marshall, P. (ed), 2008. Religious Freedom in the World.
- 11 Malloch, T., 2008. Free to choose: Economics and Religion. In: Marshall, P. (ed), 2008. Religious Freedom in the World
- 12 Grim, B. J., 2008. God's Economy. In: Marshall, P. (ed), 2008. Religious Freedom in the World
- 13 Grim, B. J., 2008. God's Economy. In: Marshall, P. (ed), 2008. Religious Freedom in the World; Grim, B. J., Finke, R., 2011. The Price of Freedom Denied
- 14 United Nations Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 22 (2)
- 15 UDHR, art. 18, General Comment 22 (5)
- 16 Rapporteur's Digest on Freedom of Religion or Belief: excerpt of the reports from 1986-2011 by the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion of Belief
- 17 Ghana, N., Lindholm, T., Durham, C., Tahzib-Lie, B. G., 2004. Introduction. In: Lindholm, T., Durham, C., Tahzib-Lie, B. G (eds), 2004. Facilitating Freedom of Religion or Belief: A Deskbook
- 18 Swedish Mission Council, 2010. What Freedom of Religion involves and when it can be limited. A quick guide to religious freedom.
- 19 UDHR, art. 18; ICCPR art 18 (1); ECHR, art 9 (1), General Comment 22 (3, 5)
- 20 ICCPR, art. 18; General Comment 22 (4); 1981 Declaration art. 6
- 21 ICCPR, art. 18 (2); General Comment 22 (5); 1981 Declaration, art. 1 (2)
- 22 UDHR, art 2; ICCPR, art 2, 5, 26 and 27; 1981 Declaration art. 2-4; General Comment 22, art. 2; ECHR, art 14
- 23 CRC, art 14 (2); ICCPR 18(4); General Comment, art 6; 1981 Declaration, art 5
- 24 Ghana, N., Lindholm, T., Durham, C., Tahzib-Lie, B. G., 2004. Introduction. In: Lindholm, T., Durham, C., Tahzib-Lie, B. G (eds), 2004. Facilitating Freedom of Religion or Belief: A Deskbook; ICCPR 18(1)
- 25 General Comment 22 (11)
- 26 <http://www.ag.gov.au/RightsAndProtections/HumanRights/PublicSectorGuidanceSheets/Pages/Absoluterights.aspx>
- 27 ICCPR, art. 4(2); General Comment 22, art. 3 and 8
- 28 ICCPR, art. 18(3); ECHR, art. 9(2); General Comment 22, art. 3, 8
- 29 H. Bielefeldt, 2013, Misperceptions of Freedom of Religion or Belief in Human Rights Quarterly, Volume 35 no 1
- 30 Lindholm, T., 2004. Philosophical and Religious Justification for Freedom of Religion or Belief. In: Lindholm, T., Durham, C., Tahzib-Lie, B. G., (eds), 2004. Facilitating Freedom of Religion or Belief: A Deskbook.
- 31 ICCPR, art. 20
- 32 A/68/290 Interim report of the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief, August 2013
- 33 ICCPR art. 20
- 34 Rabat Plan of Action on the prohibition of advocacy of national, racial or religious hatred that constitutes incitement to discrimination, hostility or violence, 2012, http://www.un.org/en/prevention/genocide/adviser/pdf/Rabat_draft_outcome.pdf
- 35 H. Bielefeldt, 2013, Misperceptions of Freedom of Religion or Belief in Human Rights Quarterly, Volume 35 no 1
- 36 A/68/290 Interim report of the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief, August 2013
- 37 Swedish Mission Council, 2014 «Religionsfrihet för alla – så kan Sveriges utrikespolitik stärkas»
- 38 Pew Research Center's Forum on Religion & Public Life, 2017. "Global restrictions on religion rises modestly in 2015, reversing downward trend"
- 39 Rapporteur's Digest on Freedom of Religion or Belief: excerpt of the reports from 1986-2011 by the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion of Belief
- 40 Candelin, J., 2005. World Evangelical Alliance: Geneva Report 2005. A perspective on global religious freedom: challenges facing Christian Communities.
- 41 Marshall, P., 2008. The nature of religious freedom. In: Marshall, P. (ed), 2008. Religious Freedom in the World
- 42 Pew Research Center's Forum on Religion & Public Life, 2017. "Global restrictions on religion rises modestly in 2015, reversing downward trend"
- 43 Glendon, M. A., 2001. A World Made New. P. xix

Glossary and abbreviations

Agnostic – someone who believes it is impossible to know whether there is a god or not

Atheist – someone who does not believe in any god

Authoritarian – dictatorship, undemocratic and controlling states

Blasphemy – disrespectful or offensive words or actions about god(s) or sacred things

Censorship – restricting what is allowed to print, write and broadcast

Civil society – individuals, groups and organizations in a society, which are independent of the government

Coercion – the use of force or intimidation to make somebody do what you want

Conscientious objection – when somebody refuses to join the armed forces or do military service on moral or religious grounds

Discrimination – unfair treatment of people based on religion, language, ethnicity, gender, etc.

Due legal process – rules and principles in the court system that ensures the legal rights of an individual

FoRB – Freedom of Religion or Belief

GDP – economic statistics that tries to give a picture of a country's economy in one number

HDI – list of statistics of life expectancy, education, and income used to rank countries

Hostilities – unfriendly and aggressive behavior

ICCPR – International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights

Illegitimately – not justified, not in accordance with established rules, principles or standards

Implementation – how laws and regulations are applied and carried out in real life

Impartial – to be able to act fair, neutral and just without favoring one side

Incitement – encouraging or stimulating people to do something violent or unpleasant

Legislations – laws and regulations passed by a government

Legitimately – justified, in accordance with established rules, principles, or standards

Non-coercive – by peaceful means, without using force or compulsion

Persecution – violence or the threat of violence against a person or his/her family or property because of that person's religion or belief

Prosecute – to bring criminal charges against someone and bring them to trial

Socioeconomic – combination of social and economic factors

UDHR – Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Zero-sum game – the gain of one part automatically means the loss of the other part

Closing Remarks


For billions of people around the world, religion is one of the most important factors in their lives. It provides a sense of purpose, helps explain the big questions in life and supplies followers with a sense of belonging and fellowship. Likewise, there are many who have no need for religion and find meaning and sense of purpose without it. FoRB is important for all these people, believers and non-believers alike. We hope this booklet has provided you with a greater understanding of the contents of FoRB as well as the importance of defending and promoting this fundamental human right.

About Stefanus Alliance International

Stefanus Alliance International is a Christian mission and human rights organization based in Norway, with a special focus on freedom of religion and belief. Stefanus Alliance International fights for peoples' right to have, change and manifest their beliefs. With our motto "together for the persecuted", we provide support, care and practical help to people and churches all over the world who are persecuted or oppressed because of their faith.

This booklet and more information about FoRB can be downloaded on Stefanus Alliance International's webpage: www.stefanus.no/forb. The booklet is available in several languages, among other: Arabic, Hindi, Burmese, Spanish, Russian, and Urdu.

If you have further questions about FoRB, you are most welcome to contact us at:
post@stefanus.no or +47 23 40 88 00



Stefanus Alliance International
www.stefanus.no



together for the persecuted